

# $L^p - L^q$ ESTIMATES FOR SOME CONVOLUTION OPERATORS WITH SINGULAR MEASURES ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP

PABLO ROCHA AND TOMAS GODOY

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\nu$  be the Borel measure on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  defined by  $\nu(E) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi_E(w, \varphi(w)) \eta(w) dw$ , where  $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j |w_j|^2$ ,  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\eta(w) = \eta_0(|w|^2)$  with  $\eta_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . In this paper we characterize the set of pairs  $(p, q)$  such that the convolution operator with  $\nu$  is  $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n) - L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$  bounded. We also obtain  $L^p$ -improving properties of measures supported on the graph of the function  $\varphi(w) = |w|^{2m}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be the Heisenberg group with group law  $(z, t) \cdot (w, s) = (z + w, t + s + \langle z, w \rangle)$  where  $\langle z, w \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \cdot \overline{w_j} \right)$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we write  $x = (x', x'')$  with  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . So,  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via the map  $\Psi(x', x'') = x' + ix''$ . In this setting the form  $\langle z, w \rangle$  agrees with the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{H}^n$  can be viewed as  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the group law

$$(x, t) \cdot (y, s) = \left( x + y, t + s + \frac{1}{2} W(x, y) \right)$$

where the symplectic form  $W$  is given by  $W(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{n+j} x_j - y_j x_{n+j})$ , with  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n})$ , with neutral element  $(0, 0)$ , and with inverse  $(x, t)^{-1} = (-x, -t)$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function and let  $\nu$  be the Borel measure on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  supported on the graph of  $\varphi$ , given by

$$(1) \quad \nu(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi_E(w, \varphi(w)) \eta(w) dw,$$

with  $\eta(w) = \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_j(|w_j|^2)$ , where for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\eta_j$  is a function in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \leq \eta_j \leq 1$ ,  $\eta_j(t) \equiv 1$  if  $t \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(\eta_j) \subset (-2, 2)$ . Let  $T_\nu$  be the right convolution operator by  $\nu$ , defined by

$$(2) \quad T_\nu f(x, t) = (f * \nu)(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f((x, t) \cdot (w, \varphi(w))^{-1}) \eta(w) dw.$$

We are interested in studying the type set

$$E_\nu = \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] : \|T_\nu\|_{pq} < \infty \right\}$$

**Key words and phrases:** Singular measures, group Fourier transform, Heisenberg group, convolution operators, spherical transform.

**2.010 Math. Subject Classification:** 43A80, 42A38.

Partially supported by Agencia Cordoba Ciencia, Secyt-UNC, Conicet and ANPCYT.

where the  $L^p$  - spaces are taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . We say that the measure  $\nu$  defined in (1) is  $L^p$ -improving if  $E_\nu$  does not reduce to the diagonal  $1/p = 1/q$ .

This problem is well known if in (2) we replace the Heisenberg group convolution with the ordinary convolution in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . If the graph of  $\varphi$  has non-zero Gaussian curvature at each point, a theorem of Littman (see [3]) implies that  $E_\nu$  is the closed triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , and  $\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)$  (see [4]). A very interesting survey of results concerning the type set for convolution operators with singular measures can be found in [5]. Returning to our setting  $\mathbb{H}^n$ , in [7] S. Secco obtains  $L^p$ -improving properties of measures supported on curves in  $\mathbb{H}^1$ , under the assumption that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi_1^{(2)} & \phi_2^{(2)} \\ \phi_1^{(3)} & \phi_2^{(3)} \end{array} \right| (s) &\neq -\frac{(\phi_1^{(2)}(s))^2}{2}, \quad \forall s \in I \\ \left| \begin{array}{cc} \phi_1^{(2)} & \phi_2^{(2)} \\ \phi_1^{(3)} & \phi_2^{(3)} \end{array} \right| (s) &\neq \frac{(\phi_1^{(2)}(s))^2}{2}, \quad \forall s \in I \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi(s) = (s, \phi_1(s), \phi_2(s))$  is the curve on which the measure is supported. In [6] F. Ricci and E. Stein showed that the type set of the measure given by (1), for the case  $\varphi(w) = 0$  and  $n = 1$ , is the triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , and  $\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ .

In this article we consider first  $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j |w_j|^2$ , with  $w_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Riesz-Thorin theorem implies that the type set  $E_\nu$  is a convex subset of  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . In Lemmas 3 and 4 we obtain the following necessary conditions on the pairs  $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_\nu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} &\leq \frac{1}{p}, \\ \frac{1}{q} &\geq \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n \\ \frac{1}{q} &\geq \frac{1}{(2n+1)p} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E_\nu$  is contained in the closed triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , and  $\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)$ . In Section 3 we prove that  $E_\nu$  is exactly the closed triangle with these vertices. Indeed, we obtain the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\nu$  is the Borel measure defined by (1), supported on the graph of the function  $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j |w_j|^2$ , with  $w_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the type set  $E_\nu$  is the closed triangle with vertices*

$$A = (0, 0), \quad B = (1, 1), \quad C = \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)$$

with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In a similar way we also obtain  $L^p$ -improving properties of the measure supported on the graph of the function  $\varphi(w) = |w|^{2m}$ . In fact we prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  let  $\nu_m$  be the measure given by (1) with  $\varphi(y) = |y|^{2m}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Then the type set  $E_{\nu_m}$  contains the closed triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\left(\frac{2(1+mn)-m}{2(1+mn)}, \frac{m}{2(1+mn)}\right)$ .*

Throughout this work,  $c$  will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

## 2. NECESSARY CONDITIONS

We denote  $B(r)$  the  $2n+1$  dimensional ball centered at the origin with radius  $r$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\nu$  be the Borel measure defined by (1), where  $\varphi$  is a bounded measurable function. If  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in E_\nu$  then  $p \leq q$ .*

*Proof.* For  $(y, s) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  we define the operator  $\tau_{(y,s)}$  by  $(\tau_{(y,s)}f)(x, t) = f((y, s)^{-1} \cdot (x, t))$ . Since  $\tau_{(y,s)}T_\nu = T_\nu\tau_{(y,s)}$ , it is easy to see that the  $\mathbb{R}^n$  argument utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [2] works as well on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\nu$  be the Borel measure defined by (1), where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function. Then  $E_\nu$  is contained in the closed triangle with vertices*

$$(0, 0), (1, 1), \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2} \right).$$

*Proof.* We will prove that if  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in E_\nu$  then  $\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n$  and  $\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{(2n+1)p}$ . Then the lemma will follow by the Riesz-Thorin theorem. Let  $f_\delta = \chi_{Q_\delta}$ , where  $Q_\delta = B(2\delta)$ . Let  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  and  $A_\delta$  the set defined by

$$A_\delta = \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} : x \in D, |t - \varphi(x)| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \right\}.$$

For each  $(x, t) \in A_\delta$  fixed, we define  $F_{\delta,x}$  by

$$F_{\delta,x} = \left\{ y \in D : \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \leq \frac{\delta}{4n(1 + \|\nabla\varphi|_{\text{supp}(\eta)}\|_\infty)} \right\}.$$

Now, for each  $(x, t) \in A_\delta$  fixed, we have

$$(3) \quad (x, t) \cdot (y, \varphi(y))^{-1} \in Q_\delta, \quad \forall y \in F_{\delta,x},$$

indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x, t) \cdot (y, \varphi(y))^{-1}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} &\leq \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \\ &+ |t - \varphi(x)| + |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| + \frac{1}{2}|W(x, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{1}{2}|W(x, y)| \leq n\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}},$$

(3) follows. Then for  $(x, t) \in A_\delta$  we obtain

$$T_\nu f_\delta(x, t) \geq \int_{F_{\delta,x}} \eta(y) dy \geq c\delta^{2n},$$

where  $c$  not depends on  $\delta, x$  and  $t$ . If  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in E_\nu$  implies

$$c\delta^{\frac{1}{q}+2n} = c\delta^{2n} |A_\delta|^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \int_{A_\delta} |T_\nu f_\delta(x, t)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|T_\nu f_\delta\|_q \leq c_{p,q} \|f_\delta\|_p = c\delta^{\frac{2n+1}{p}},$$

thus  $\delta^{2n+\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\delta^{\frac{2n+1}{p}}$  for all  $0 < \delta < 1$  small enough. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n.$$

Now, the adjoint operator of  $T_\nu$  is given by

$$T_\nu^* g(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} g((x, t) \cdot (y, \varphi(y))) \eta(y) dy$$

and let  $E_\nu^*$  be the type set corresponding. Since  $T_\nu = (T_\nu^*)^*$ , by duality it follows that  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p'}) \in E_\nu$  if and only if  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p'}) \in E_\nu^*$ , thus if  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in E_\nu^*$  then  $\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n$ . Finally, by duality it is also necessary that

$$\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{(2n+1)p}.$$

Therefore  $E_\nu$  is contained in the region determined by these two conditions and by the condition  $p \leq q$ , i.e.: the closed triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2})$ .  $\square$

**Remark** *Lemma 4 holds if we replace the smoothness condition with a Lipschitz condition.*

### 3. THE MAIN RESULTS

We consider for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, an auxiliary operator  $T_N$  which will be embedded in a analytic family of operators  $\{T_{N,z}\}$  on the strip  $-n \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1$  such that

$$(4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \|T_{N,z}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq c_z \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} & \operatorname{Re}(z) = 1 \\ \|T_{N,z}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq c_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} & \operatorname{Re}(z) = -n \end{array} \right\}$$

where  $c_z$  will depend admissibly on the variable  $z$  and it will not depend on  $N$ . We denote  $T_N = T_{N,0}$ . By Stein's theorem of complex interpolation, it will follow that the operator  $T_N$  will be bounded from  $L^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  in  $L^{2n+2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  uniformly on  $N$ , if we see that  $T_N f(x, t) \rightarrow T_\nu f(x, t)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , a.e  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Theorem 1 will then follow from Fatou's lemma and the lemmas 3 and 4. To prove the second inequality in (4) we will see that such family will admit the following expression

$$T_{N,z}(f)(x, t) = (f * K_{N,z})(x, t),$$

where  $K_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ , moreover it is a poliradial function (i.e. the values of  $K_{N,z}$  depend on  $|w_1|, \dots, |w_n|$  and  $t$ ). Now our operator  $T_{N,z}$  can be realized as a multiplication of operators via the group Fourier transform, i.e.

$$\widehat{T_{N,z}(f)}(\lambda) = \widehat{f}(\lambda) \widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)$$

where, for each  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)$  is an operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  given by

$$\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} K_{N,z}(\varsigma, t) \pi_\lambda(\varsigma, t) g(\xi) d\varsigma dt.$$

It then follows from Plancherel's theorem for the group Fourier transform that

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

if and only if

$$(5) \quad \left\| \widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda) \right\|_{op} \leq A_z$$

uniformly over  $N$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Since  $K_{N,z}$  is a poliradial integrable function, then by a well known result of Geller (see Lemma 1.3, p. 213 in [1]), the operators  $\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$  are, for each  $\lambda \neq 0$ , diagonal with respect to a Hermite basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is

$$\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda) = C_n (\delta_{\gamma, \alpha} \mu_{N,z}(\alpha, \lambda))_{\gamma, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$$

where  $C_n = (2\pi)^n$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\delta_{\gamma, \alpha} = 1$  if  $\gamma = \alpha$  and  $\delta_{\gamma, \alpha} = 0$  if  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ , and the diagonal entries  $\mu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda)$  can be expressed explicitly in terms of the Laguerre transform. We have in fact

$$\mu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty K_{N,z}^\lambda(r_1, \dots, r_n) \prod_{j=1}^n \left( r_j L_{\alpha_j}^0 \left( \frac{1}{2} |\lambda| r_j^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} |\lambda| r_j^2} \right) dr_1 \dots dr_n$$

where  $L_k^0(s)$  are the Laguerre polynomials, i.e.  $L_k^0(s) = \sum_{i=0}^k \left( \frac{k!}{(k-i)!i!} \right) \frac{(-s)^i}{i!}$  and  $K_{N,z}^\lambda(\varsigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{N,z}(\varsigma, t) e^{i\lambda t} dt$ . Now (5) is equivalent to

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

if and only if

$$(6) \quad |\mu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda)| \leq A_z$$

uniformly over  $N$ ,  $\alpha_j$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . If  $Re(z) = -n$  we prove that  $|\mu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda)| \leq A_z$ , with  $A_z$  independent of  $N$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_j$ , and then we obtain the boundedness on  $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$  that is stated in (4).

We consider the family  $\{I_z\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  that arises by analytic continuation of the family  $\{I_z\}$  of functions, initially given when  $Re(z) > 0$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$(7) \quad I_z(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{z}{2})} |s|^{z-1}.$$

In particular, we have  $\widehat{I_z} = I_{1-z}$ , also  $I_0 = c\delta$  where  $\widehat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta$  is the Dirac distribution at the origin on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $H \in S(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $supp(\widehat{H}) \subseteq (-1, 1)$  and  $\int \widehat{H}(t) dt = 1$ . Now we put  $\phi_N(t) = H(\frac{t}{N})$  thus  $\widehat{\phi_N}(\xi) = N\widehat{H}(N\xi)$  and  $\widehat{\phi_N} \rightarrow \delta$  in the sense of the distribution, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also define  $J_{N,z}$  as the distribution on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  given by the tensor products

$$(8) \quad J_{N,z} = \delta \otimes \dots \otimes \delta \otimes \left( I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right)$$

where  $*_{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the usual convolution on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $I_z$  is the fractional integration kernel given by (7). Finally, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, we defined the operator  $T_{N,z}$  by

$$(9) \quad T_{N,z}f(x, t) = (f * \nu * J_{N,z})(x, t)$$

We observe that  $T_{N,0}f(x, t) \rightarrow cT_\nu f(x, t)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , since  $J_{N,0} = \delta \otimes \dots \otimes \delta \otimes c\widehat{\phi_N} \rightarrow \delta \otimes \dots \otimes \delta \otimes c\delta$  in the sense of the distribution, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Before proving Theorem 1 we need the following lemmas,

**Lemma 5.** *If  $Re(z) \leq -1$  then  $\nu * J_{N,z} \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ ,  $\forall p \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* For  $Re(z) \leq -1$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, a simple calculation gives

$$(\nu * J_{N,z})(x, \sigma) = \eta(x) \left( I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right) (\sigma - \varphi(x)).$$

We see that is enough to prove that  $\left( I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right) (s) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , if  $Re(z) \leq -1$ . For them we observe that if  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $supp(g) \cap [-\epsilon, \epsilon] = \emptyset$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then

for  $Re(z) \leq -1$

$$I_z(g) = \frac{2^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{z}{2})} \int_{|t| \geq \epsilon} |t|^{z-1} g(t) dt, \quad \text{if } z \notin -2\mathbb{N}$$

and

$$I_z(g) = 0, \quad \text{if } z \in -2\mathbb{N}.$$

From this observation and the fact that

$$\text{supp} \left( \tau_s \left( \widehat{\phi_N}^\vee \right) \right) \subset \left[ s - \frac{1}{N}, s + \frac{1}{N} \right] \subset [-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty] \quad \text{for } |s| \geq \frac{N+1}{N}$$

(where  $\phi^\vee(x) = \phi(-x)$  and  $(\tau_s \phi)(x) = \phi(x-s)$ ), we obtain

$$\left| \left( I_z * \widehat{\phi_N} \right) (s) \right| = \left| I_z \left( \tau_s \left( \widehat{\phi_N}^\vee \right) \right) \right| \leq c \left| s - \frac{\text{sign}(s)}{N} \right|^{-2}, \quad \text{if } |s| \geq \frac{N+1}{N}.$$

Finally, since  $\left| \left( I_z * \widehat{\phi_N} \right) (s) \right| \leq c$  for all  $s \in [-2, 2]$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we put

$$F_{n,k}(\sigma) := \chi_{(0,\infty)}(\sigma) L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sigma^{n-1}$$

then

$$\widehat{F_{n,k}}(\xi) = \frac{(k+n-1)!}{k!} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^k}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^{k+n}}.$$

*Proof.* On  $\mathbb{R}$  we define the Fourier transform by  $\widehat{g}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\sigma) e^{-i\sigma\xi} d\sigma$  thus

$$\widehat{F_{n,k}}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) \sigma^{n-1} e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)} d\sigma$$

and since  $L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) \sigma^{n-1} = \frac{e^\sigma}{k!} \left(\frac{d}{d\sigma}\right)^k (e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{k+n-1})$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F_{n,k}}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d}{d\sigma}\right)^k (e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{k+n-1}) e^{-\sigma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^k}{k!} \int_0^\infty \sigma^{k+n-1} e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^k}{k!} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{k+n-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^{k+n-1}} e^{-s} \frac{ds}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)} \\ &= \frac{(k+n-1)!}{k!} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^k}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)^{k+n}} \end{aligned}$$

the third equality follows from the rapid decay of the function  $e^{-z}$  on the region  $\{z : Re(z) > 0\}$ . Then we apply the Cauchy's theorem.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** For  $Re(z) = 1$  we have

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_\infty = \|(f * \nu * J_{N,z})\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \|\nu * J_{N,z}\|_\infty$$

Since

$$(\nu * J_{N,z})(x, \sigma) = \eta(x) \left( I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right) (\sigma - \varphi(x))$$

it follows that  $\|\nu * J_{N,z}\|_\infty \leq c \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \right|^{-1}$ . Then, for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\|T_{N,z}\|_{1,\infty} \leq c \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \right|^{-1}.$$

From Lemma 5, in particular, we have that  $\nu * J_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ . In addition  $\nu * J_{N,z}$  is a poliradial function. Thus the operator  $(\nu * J_{N,z})^\wedge(\lambda)$  is diagonal with respect to a Hermite base for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and its diagonal entries  $\mu_{N,z}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{N,z}(\alpha, \lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty (\nu * J_{N,z})(r_1, \dots, r_n, \widehat{-\lambda}) \prod_{j=1}^n \left( r_j L_{k_j}^0 \left( \frac{1}{2} |\lambda| r_j^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} |\lambda| r_j^2} \right) dr_1 \dots dr_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \left( I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right)^\wedge(-\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \eta_j(r_j^2) e^{i\lambda a_j r_j^2} r_j L_{k_j}^0 \left( \frac{1}{2} |\lambda| r_j^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} |\lambda| r_j^2} \right) dr_1 \dots dr_n \\ &= I_{1-z}(-\lambda) \phi_N(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^n \int_0^\infty \left( \eta_j(r_j^2) e^{i\lambda a_j r_j^2} r_j L_{\alpha_j}^0 \left( \frac{1}{2} |\lambda| r_j^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} |\lambda| r_j^2} \right) dr_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to study the integral  $\int_0^\infty \eta_1(r^2) L_{\alpha_1}^0 \left( \frac{|\lambda| r^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| r^2}{4}} e^{i\lambda a_1 r^2} r dr$ , where

$a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . We make the change of variable  $\sigma = \frac{|\lambda| r^2}{2}$  in such integral and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \eta_1(r^2) L_{\alpha_1}^0 \left( \frac{|\lambda| r^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| r^2}{4}} e^{i\lambda a_1 r^2} r dr \\ &= |\lambda|^{-1} \int_0^\infty \eta_1 \left( \frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|} \right) L_{\alpha_1}^0(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} e^{i2\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) a_1 \sigma} d\sigma \\ &= |\lambda|^{-1} (F_{\alpha_1} G_\lambda)^\wedge(-2\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) a_1) = |\lambda|^{-1} (\widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} * \widehat{G_\lambda})(-2\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) a_1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_{\alpha_1}(\sigma) := \chi_{(0,\infty)}(\sigma) L_{\alpha_1}^0(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$$

and

$$G_\lambda(\sigma) := \eta_1 \left( \frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|} \right)$$

Now

$$\left| (\widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} * \widehat{G_\lambda})(-2\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) a_1) \right| \leq \left\| \widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} * \widehat{G_\lambda} \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} \right\|_\infty \left\| \widehat{G_\lambda} \right\|_1 = \left\| \widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} \right\|_\infty \left\| \widehat{\eta_1} \right\|_1.$$

So it is enough to estimate  $\left\| \widehat{F_{\alpha_1}} \right\|_\infty$ . Now, from lemma 6, with  $n = 1$  and  $k = \alpha_1$ , we obtain

$$\left| \widehat{F_{\alpha_1}}(\xi) \right| = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{1}{2} + i\xi \right|}$$

Finally, for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda)| &\leq 2^n |I_{1-z}(-\lambda) \phi_N(\lambda)| |\lambda|^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{\eta_j}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2^n \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \right|^{-1} \left| H\left(\frac{\lambda}{N}\right) \right| \prod_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{\eta_j}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2^n \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \right|^{-1} \|H\|_\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \|\widehat{\eta_j}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

by (6) it follows, for  $Re(z) = -n$ , that

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq c \frac{(2\pi)^n 2^n}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)\right|} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

It is easy to see, with the aid of the Stirling formula (see [10], p. 326), that the family  $\{T_{N,z}\}$  satisfies, on the strip  $-n \leq Re(z) \leq 1$ , the hypothesis of the complex interpolation theorem (see [8] p. 205) and so  $T_{N,0}$  is bounded from  $L^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  into  $L^{2n+2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  uniformly on  $N$ , then doing  $N$  tend to infinity, we obtain that the operator  $T_\nu$  is bounded from  $L^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  into  $L^{2n+2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We consider for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, the analytic family of operators  $\{U_{N,z}\}$  on the strip  $-(n + \frac{1-m}{m}) \leq Re(z) \leq 1$ , defined by  $U_{N,z}f = f * \nu_m * J_{N,z}$ , where  $J_{N,z}$  is given by (8) and  $U_{N,0}f \rightarrow U_{\nu_m}f = f * \nu_m$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Proceeding as in proof of Theorem 1 it follows, for  $Re(z) = 1$ , that  $\|U_{N,z}\|_{1,\infty} \leq c \left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right|^{-1}$ . Also it is clear that, for  $Re(z) = -(n + \frac{1-m}{m})$ , the kernel  $\nu_m * J_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$  and it is also a radial function. Now, our operator  $(\nu_m * J_{N,z})^\wedge(\lambda)$  is diagonal, with diagonal entries  $v_{N,z}(k, \lambda)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_{N,z}(k, \lambda) &= \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!} \int_0^\infty (\nu_m * J_{N,z})(s, -\widehat{\lambda}) L_k^{n-1} \left( \frac{|\lambda| s^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| s^2}{4}} s^{2n-1} ds \\ &= \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!} I_{1-z}(-\lambda) \phi_N(\lambda) \int_0^\infty \eta_0(s^2) L_k^{n-1} \left( \frac{|\lambda| s^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| s^2}{4}} e^{i\lambda s^{2m}} s^{2n-1} ds \end{aligned}$$

Now we study the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \eta_0(s^2) L_k^{n-1} \left( \frac{|\lambda| s^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| s^2}{4}} e^{i\lambda s^{2m}} s^{2n-1} ds.$$

We make the change of variable  $\sigma = \frac{|\lambda| s^2}{2}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \eta_0(s^2) L_k^{n-1} \left( \frac{|\lambda| s^2}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda| s^2}{4}} e^{i\lambda s^{2m}} s^{2n-1} ds \\ &= 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} \int_0^\infty \eta_0 \left( \frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|} \right) L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} e^{i2^m \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) |\lambda|^{1-m} \sigma^m} \sigma^{n-1} d\sigma \\ &= 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} (F_{n,k} G_\lambda R_\lambda)^\wedge(0) = 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} (\widehat{F_{n,k}} * \widehat{G_\lambda R_\lambda})(0) \\ &= 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} (\widehat{F_{n,k}} * (\widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda}))(0) \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{n,k}$  is the function defined in the lemma 6,  $G_\lambda(\sigma) = \eta_0(2\sigma/|\lambda|)$  and  $R_\lambda(\sigma) = \chi_{(0,|\lambda|)}(\sigma) e^{i2^m \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) |\lambda|^{1-m} \sigma^m}$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , from lemma 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{F_{n,k}} * (\widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda}) \right\|_\infty &\leq \left\| \widehat{F_{n,k}} \right\|_1 \left\| \widehat{G_\lambda} \right\|_1 \left\| \widehat{R_\lambda} \right\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{(k+n-1)!}{k!} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi}{(\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \|\widehat{\eta_0}\|_1 \left\| \widehat{R_\lambda} \right\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate  $\|\widehat{R_\lambda}\|_\infty$ . Taking account of Proposition 2 (p.332 in [9]), we note that

$$\left| \widehat{R_\lambda}(\xi) \right| = \left| \int_0^{|\lambda|} e^{i(2^m \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)|\lambda|^{1-m} \sigma^m - \xi \sigma)} d\sigma \right| \leq \frac{C_m}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1-m}{m}}}$$

where the constant  $C_m$  does not depend on  $\lambda$ . Then for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -(n + \frac{1-m}{m})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{N,z}(k, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!} |I_{1-z}(-\lambda) \phi_N(\lambda)| 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} \left\| \widehat{F_{n,k}} * \left( \widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda} \right) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq |I_{1-z}(-\lambda)| |\phi_N(\lambda)| 2^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi}{(\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \|\widehat{\eta_0}\|_1 \frac{C_m}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1-m}{m}}} \\ &\leq C_m 2^{n-1} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \right|^{-1} \|H\|_\infty \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\xi}{(\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right) \|\widehat{\eta_0}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (6) it follows that, for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -(n + \frac{1-m}{m})$

$$\|U_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq \frac{C_{n,m}}{\left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \right|} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

is clear that the family  $\{U_{N,z}\}$  satisfies, on the strip  $-(n + \frac{1-m}{m}) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1$ , the hypothesis of the complex interpolation theorem. Thus  $\widehat{U_{N,0}}$  is bounded from  $L^{\frac{2(1+nm)}{2(1+nm)-m}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  into  $L^{\frac{2(1+nm)}{m}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  uniformly on  $N$ , then doing  $N$  tend to infinity we obtain that the operator  $U_{\nu_m}$  is bounded from  $L^{\frac{2(1+nm)}{2(1+nm)-m}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  into  $L^{\frac{2(1+nm)}{m}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . ■

**Acknowledgment.** We express our thanks to the referee for his or her useful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Geller. *Fourier Analysis on The Heisenberg Group. I. Schwartz space*. Journal of Functional Analysis 36, 205-254, (1980).
- [2] L. Hörmander. *Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces*, Acta Math. 104, 93-140, (1960).
- [3] W. Littman.  *$L^p - L^q$  estimates for singular integral operators arising from hyperbolic equations*. Proc. Symp. Pure Appl. Math. AMS. 23 479-481, (1973).
- [4] D. Oberlin. *Convolution estimates for some measures on curves*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 99, 1, 56-60, (1987).
- [5] F. Ricci. *Limitatezza  $L^p - L^q$  per operatori di convoluzione definiti da misure singolari in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . Bollettino U.M.I. (7) 11-A, 237-252, (1997).
- [6] F. Ricci - E. Stein. *Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. III, Fractional integration along manifolds*. Journal of Functional Analysis 86, 360-389, (1989).
- [7] S. Secco.  *$L^p$ -improving properties of measures supported on curves on the Heisenberg group*. Studia Mathematica 132 (2) (1999).
- [8] E. M. Stein - G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton University Press (1971).
- [9] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic Analysis. Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey (1993).
- [10] E.M Stein - R. Shakarchi. *Princeton Lectures in Analysis - Complex Analysis*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey (2003).

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICA, ASTRONOMÍA Y FÍSICA - CIEM UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA  
- CONICET CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 5000 CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA  
*E-mail address:* `godoy@famaf.unc.edu.ar`, `rp@famaf.unc.edu.ar`